arXiv:1110.1814v1 [math-ph] 9 Oct 2011

Quantum Zakharov Model in a Bounded Domain

Igor Chueshov*
Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Kharkov National University,
Kharkov, 61077, Ukraine

Abstract

We consider an initial boundary value problem for a quantum version of the Zakharov system arising in plasma physics. We prove the global well-posedness of this problem in some Sobolev type classes and study properties of solutions. This result confirms the conclusion recently made in physical literature concerning the absence of collapse in the quantum Langmuir waves. In the dissipative case the existence of a finite dimensional global attractor is established and regularity properties of this attractor are studied. For this we use the recently developed method of quasi-stability estimates. In the case when external loads are C^∞ functions we show that every trajectory from the attractor is C^∞ both in time and spatial variables. This can be interpret as the absence of sharp coherent structures in the limiting dynamics.

Keywords: Quantum Zakharov equation, well-posedness, global attractor, finite fractal dimension
2010 MSC: Primary 35Q40; Secondary 35B40, 37L30

Introduction

In a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \leq 3$, we consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} n_{tt} - \Delta\left(n + |E|^2\right) + h^2\Delta^2 n + \alpha n_t = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ iE_t + \Delta E - h^2\Delta^2 E + i\gamma E - nE = g(x), \quad x \in \Omega,\ t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{0.1}$$

Here $E(x,t)$ is a complex function and $n(x,t)$ is a real one, $h > 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ are parameters and $f(x)$, $g(x)$ are given (real and complex) functions.

This system in dimension $d = 1$ was obtained in [10], by use of a quantum fluid approach, to model the nonlinear interaction between quantum Langmuir waves and quantum ion-acoustic waves in an electron-ion dense quantum plasma. Later a vector 3D version of equations (0.1) was suggested in [15]. In dimension $d = 2, 3$ the system in (0.1) is also known (see, e.g., [22] and the references therein) as a simplified "scalar model" which is in a good agreement with the vector model derived in [15] (see a discussion in [22]). The quantum parameter h in (0.1) is proportional to the Plank constant and expresses the ratio between the ion plasmon energy and the electron thermal energy. In the case when $h = 0$ we arrive to the classical (non-quantum) Zakharov system which was introduced in [25] (also with $\alpha = \gamma = 0$, $f(x) \equiv 0$, $g(x) \equiv 0$) for the description of wave phenomena in plasma. This classical model was studied by many authors. For well-posedness issues in the non-dissipative case ($\alpha = \gamma = 0$) for $\Omega \equiv \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \leq 3$ we refer [2, 3, 12, 13] and to the literature cited there. In a bounded domain with $d = 1, 2$ well-posdeness and long-time dynamics were studied in [8, 9, 14, 20].

---

*e-mail: chueshov@univer.kharkov.ua

Our main goal in this paper is to study well-posedness and long-time issues for the model in (0.1). Presence of the biharmonic operators in (0.1) provides additional a priori estimates and makes it possible to study the model in all dimensions $d \leq 3$ in a unified way. For the sake of some simplification we consider problem (0.1) with the following boundary conditions

$$n(x,t) = \Delta n(x,t) = 0, \quad E(x,t) = \Delta E(x,t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \; t > 0. \qquad (0.2)$$

We can also consider other types of boundary conditions related with the biharmonic operator such as Dirichlet, Neumann or periodic (if the domain is rectangle) or else their combination. Moreover, instead of the scalar amplitude $E(x,t)$ we can take a complex vector $(E_1(x,t); \ldots ; E_d(x,t))$ satisfying the corresponding (vector) Schrödinger equation. This model describes the so-called electrostatic limit of the original vector model (see the discussion in [22]). To avoid extra technicalities we do not pursue these generalizations in this paper.

In this paper we prove (see Theorem 1.2) that the Cauchy problem for (0.1) equipped with the boundary conditions in (0.2) has a unique weak solution for initial data $(n_1; n_0; E_0)$ from the natural phase space. To prove the existence of the solutions we use Galerkin approximations and the standard compactness method. We also show that these solutions satisfy some energy type relations and study their regularity properties. In particular we prove the Lipschitz continuous dependence of solutions on initial data and show that these solutions generate a continuous semiflow S_t. This global well-posedness result confirms the physically motivated conclusion recently made in [15] and [22] concerning the absence of collapse in the quantum Langmuir waves.

In the dissipative case ($\alpha > 0$, $\gamma > 0$) we study long-time behaviour of the semiflow S_t generated by weak solutions and prove the existence of a compact global attractor. This attractor has finite fractal dimension and attracts smooth (semi-strong) solutions in a stronger topology. We also show that all trajectories from the attractor are C^∞ in time variable. Moreover, if the external forces f and g are C^∞ functions, then elements from the attractor are also C^∞ in the spatial variables. This can be interpret as the absence of sharp coherent structures in the long-time limit. To prove these results (see Thorem 1.3) we use a combination of the traditional approach (see, e.g., [1, 4, 16, 23]) with the recently developed method [5, 6, 7] based on quasi-stability properties of the system. To study regularity of solutions on the attractor we also use some ideas from [11].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we state and discuss our main results on well-posedness (Theorem 1.2) and on long lime dynamics (Theorem 1.3). Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 respectively.

1 Statements of the main results

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a smooth bounded domain, $d \le 3$, and $H^s(\Omega)$ be L_2 based Sobolev space, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We also denote by $H_0^s(\Omega)$ the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $H^s(\Omega)$. Let A be the minus Dirichlet Laplace operator:

$$Au = -\Delta u \quad \text{for any} \quad u \in \mathscr{D}(A) = (H^2 \cap H_0^1)(\Omega).$$

The operator A is a self-adjoint positive operator in $H = L_2(\Omega)$ and has a compact inverse. The latter implies the existence of an orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}$ in H consisting of eigenfunctions of A:

$$Ae_k = \lambda_k e_k, \quad 0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \dots, \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} \lambda_k = \infty.$$

We define the Sobolev type spaces H_s by the formula $H_s = \mathscr{D}(A^{s/2})$ with the graph norm $\|\cdot\|_s \equiv \|A^{s/2}\cdot\|$ for $s \ge 0$. If $s < 0$, then $H_s = [H_{-s}]'$ is the completion of $H_0 \equiv H = L_2(\Omega)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_s \equiv \|A^{s/2}\cdot\|$. From interpolation (see, e.g., [17]) we have that (a) $H_s \subset H^s(\Omega)$ for $s \ge 0$, (b) $H_s = (H^s \cap H_0^1)(\Omega)$ for $s \in [1,2]$, $s \ne 3/2$, and (c) $H_s = (H_0^s)(\Omega)$ for $s \in [0,1]$, $s \ne 1/2$.

We mention that we deal with both real and complex versions of the spaces H_s. We keep notation H_s for real case and denote by $\overline{H}_s$ its complexification. For norms and inner products we use the same notations.

We recall (see, e.g., [24]) for further use the following Sobolev embeddings:

$$H^s(\Omega) \subset L_p(\Omega) \text{ with } s = d\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right),\ p \ge 2, \quad \text{and} \quad H^s(\Omega) \subset C(\Omega) \text{ for } s > \frac{d}{2}. \tag{1.1}$$

In particular, since $d \le 3$, both spaces H_2 and $\overline{H}_2$ are Banach algebras.

We also introduce the (phase) space $\mathcal{H} = H \times H_2 \times \overline{H}_2$ endowed with the norm

$$\|(n_1; n_0; E_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|n_1\|^2 + \|n_0\|_2^2 + \|E_0\|_2^2. \tag{1.2}$$

Now we rewrite problem (0.1) with the boundary conditions in (0.2) in the following form:

$$n_{tt} + A\left(n + |E|^2\right) + h^2 A^2 n + \alpha n_t = f, \tag{1.3}$$

$$iE_t - AE - h^2 A^2 E - nE + i\gamma E = g. \tag{1.4}$$

We equip equations (1.3) and (1.4) with initial data

$$n_t|_{t=0} = n_0,\ n|_{t=0} = n_1,\ E|_{t=0} = E_0. \tag{1.5}$$

Below we assume that (i) $h > 0$, (ii) $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$, (iii) the real $f(x)$ and the complex $g(x)$ functions are given from $L_2(\Omega)$.

We understand solutions to problem (1.3)–(1.5) in the sense of the following definition.

Definition 1.1 A pair $(n; E)$ is said to be a *weak* solution to problem (1.3)–(1.5) on an interval $[0, T]$ iff

$$(n_t; n; E) \in L_\infty \left([0,T];\, H \times H_2 \times \overline{H}_2\right),$$

and (i) relations (1.3) and (1.4) are satisfied in the sense of distributions, (ii) initial data (1.5) hold.

Since by (1.1) $nE \in \overline{H}_2$ and $|E|^2 \in H_2$ for $E \in \overline{H}_2$ and $n \in H_2$, it follows from (1.3) and (1.4) that

$$n_{tt} \in L_\infty \left([0,T];\, H_{-2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad E_t \in L_\infty \left([0,T];\, \overline{H}_{-2}\right) \tag{1.6}$$

for any weak solution $(n; E)$. In particular, this means that any weak solution $(n; E)$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.4) for almost all $t \in [0, T]$ as equalities in H_{-2} and $\overline{H}_{-2}$. Moreover, by Lions' lemma (see [17, Lemma 8.1]) the triple $(n_t; n; E)$ is a weakly continuous function with values in $H \times H_2 \times \overline{H}_2$ and hence

$$(n_t; n; E_t; E) \in C \left([0,T];\, H_{-\sigma} \times H_{2-\sigma} \times \overline{H}_{-2-\sigma} \times \overline{H}_{2-\sigma}\right), \quad \forall\, \sigma > 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Our first result is the following well-posedness theorem for weak solutions to problem (1.3)–(1.5).

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$ and $h > 0$. Assume that $(n_1; n_0; E_0) \in \mathcal{H}$, $f \in H$ and $g \in \overline{H}$. Then problem (1.3)–(1.5) on every interval $[0, T]$ has a unique weak solution. This solution possesses the property*

$$(n_t; n; E_t; E) \in C \left([0,T];\, H \times H_2 \times \overline{H}_{-2} \times \overline{H}_2\right) \tag{1.8}$$

and satisfies the relations

$$\|E(t)\| \leq \|E_0\| e^{-\gamma t} + e_\gamma(t) \cdot \|g\| \quad \text{for any} \;\; t \geq 0, \tag{1.9}$$

where $e_\gamma(t) = \gamma^{-1}\left(1 - e^{-\gamma t}\right)$ in the case $\gamma > 0$ and $e_\gamma(t) = t$ if $\gamma = 0$.

We also have that

(1) *There exists a constant $C_{T,R} > 0$ such that for any couple of initial data $Y^i = (n_1^i; n_0^i; E_0^i) \in \mathcal{H}$, $i = 1, 2$, with the property $\|Y^i\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq R$ we have the relation*

$$\|Y^1(t) - Y^2(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C_{T,R} \|Y^1 - Y^2\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{1.10}$$

where $Y^i(t) = (n_t^i(t); n^i(t); E^i(t))$ is the solution which corresponds to the initial data $Y^i = (n_1^i; n_0^i; E_0^i)$. The norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is given by (1.2).

(2) *For any* $t \in [0,T]$ *we have the following energy balance relation*

$$V_0(n_t(t), n(t)) + V_1(n(t), E(t)) + \int_0^t \left(\alpha\|n_t\|_{-1}^2 + 2\gamma V_1(n,E)\right) d\tau$$
$$= V_0(n_1, n_0) + V_1(n_0, E_0) + 2\gamma \int_0^t \Re(g,E) d\tau, \quad (1.11)$$

where $V_0(n_t, n)$ *is given by*

$$V_0(n_t, n) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\|n_t\|_{-1}^2 + \|n\|^2 + h^2\|n\|_1^2\right] - (f,n)_{-1} \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$V_1(n,E) = \|E\|_1^2 + h^2\|E\|_2^2 + (n, |E|^2) + 2\Re(g,E). \quad (1.13)$$

(3) *If in addition we assume that* $E_0 \in H_4$, *then the corresponding weak solution is semi-strong, i.e.,*

$$(n_t; n; E_t; E) \in C\left([0,T];\, H \times H_2 \times \overline{H} \times \overline{H}_4\right). \quad (1.14)$$

This solution is Lipschitz on $\mathcal{H}_* = H \times H_2 \times \overline{H}_4$ *with respect to initial data, i.e., there exists a constant* $C_{T,R} > 0$ *such that*

$$\|Y^1(t) - Y^2(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_*} \le C_{T,R}\|Y^1 - Y^2\|_{\mathcal{H}_*}, \quad t \in [0,T], \quad (1.15)$$

where $Y^i(t) = (n_t^i(t); n^i(t); E^i(t))$ *is the solution which corresponds to the initial data* $Y^i = (n_1^i; n_0^i; E_0^i) \in \mathcal{H}_*$ *such that* $\|Y^i\|_{\mathcal{H}_*} \le R$. *Moreover, the following energy type relation*

$$\mathcal{E}_f(n_t(t), n(t)) + \|E_t(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t \left(\alpha\|n_t(\tau)\|^2 + 2\gamma\|E_t(\tau)\|^2\right) d\tau$$
$$= \mathcal{E}_f(n_1, n_0) + \|E_1\|^2 + 2\int_0^t R(n_t(\tau), n(\tau), E(\tau)) d\tau \quad (1.16)$$

holds for any $t \in [0,T]$, *where* $\mathcal{E}_f(n_t, n)$ *is given by*

$$\mathcal{E}_f(n_t, n) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\|n_t\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}n\|^2 + h^2\|An\|^2 - 2(f,n)\right], \quad (1.17)$$

the element $E_1 \in \overline{H}$ *is defined by* n_0 *and* E_0 *from (1.4), i.e.*

$$E_1 = -i\left(AE_0 + h^2A^2E_0 + n_0E_0 - i\gamma E_0 + g\right), \quad (1.18)$$

and $R(n_t, n, E) = (n_t, |\nabla E|^2) + \Re(n_t, iE_t + \Delta E)$.

In particular Theorem 1.2 allows us to define an evolution (semigroup) operator S_t by the formula

$$S_tY = Y(t) \equiv (n_t(t); n(t); E(t)), \quad Y = (n_1; n_0; E_0),$$

where $(n(t); E(t))$ is a weak solution to problem (1.3)–(1.5) with the initial data $Y = (n_1; n_0; E_0)$. This evolution operator generates dynamical systems $(\mathcal{H}, S_t)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_*, S_t)$ with the phase spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_*$. Long-time dynamics of these systems is the main point of interest in this paper.

We recall (see, e.g., [1, 4, 23]) that the *global attractor* of a dynamical system $\big(X, S_t\big)$ in a Banach space X is defined as a bounded closed set $\mathfrak{A} \subset X$ which is invariant ($S(t)\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}$ for $t > 0$) and uniformly attracts all other bounded sets:

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \sup\{\operatorname{dist}_X(S_t y, \mathfrak{A}) :\ y \in B\} = 0 \quad \text{for any bounded set } B \text{ in } X.$$

Our main results on asymptotic dynamics of the dynamical system generated by (1.3)–(1.5) are collected in the following assertion.

Theorem 1.3 *Assume that $\alpha, \gamma, h > 0$ and $(f; g) \in H \times \overline{H}$. Then the dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}, S_t)$ generated by problem (1.3) – (1.5) has a compact global attractor $\mathfrak{A}$. This attractor possesses the following properties.*

- **(1)** *The fractal dimension $\dim_f \mathfrak{A}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ is finite.*
- **(2)** *$\mathfrak{A}$ is a bounded set in $H_2 \times H_4 \times \overline{H}_4$.*
- **(3)** *$\mathfrak{A}$ is also a global attractor for the system $(\mathcal{H}_*, S_t)$, i.e., it uniformly attracts bounded sets from $\mathcal{H}_* \equiv H \times H_2 \times \overline{H}_4$ in the topology of $\mathcal{H}_*$.*
- **(4)** *For any trajectory $U(t) = (n_t(t); n(t); E(t))$, $-\infty < t < +\infty$, from the attractor we have that $(n(t); E(t)) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H_4 \times \overline{H}_4)$ and*

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \Big\{ \|n^{(m)}(t)\|_4^2 + \|E^{(m)}(t)\|_4^2 \Big\} \le R_m, \quad \forall\, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{1.19}$$

where $n^{(m)}(t)$ and $E^{(m)}(t)$ denote time derivatives of the order m.

- **(5)** *If f, g are C^∞ functions on $\overline{\Omega}$, then $\mathfrak{A} \subset C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}) \times \overline{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and*

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \max_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} \Big\{ |\nabla_x^l n^{(m)}(x,t)| + |\nabla_x^l E^{(m)}(x,t)| \Big\} \le R_{ml}, \quad \forall\, m, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

for any trajectory $U = (n_t; n; E)$ from the attractor.

We prove this theorem in Section 3.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We use the compactness method and split the proof in several steps.

2.1 Related linear problem

We first present two preliminary assertions concerning related linear problems.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $(n_1; n_0) \in H \times H_2$ and $F(t) \in L_2(0, T; H)$. Then there exists a unique weak solution $n(t)$ to the linear problem*

$$n_{tt} + (A + h^2A^2)n + \alpha n_t = F(t), \quad t > 0, \quad n(0) = n_0, \; n_t(0) = n_1. \tag{2.1}$$

This solution possesses the property $(n_t; n) \in C([0, T]; H \times H_2)$ and satisfies the following energy relation

$$\mathcal{E}_0(n_t(t), n(t)) + \alpha \int_0^t \|n_t(\tau)\|^2 d\tau = \mathcal{E}_0(n_1, n_0) + \int_0^t (F(\tau), n_t(\tau))d\tau \tag{2.2}$$

for every $t \in [0, T]$, where the energy $\mathcal{E}_0(n_t, n)$ is given by (1.17) with $f = 0$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{E}_0(n_t, n) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\|n_t\|^2 + \|A^{1/2}n\|^2 + h^2\|An\|^2\right]. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, in the case $F(t) \equiv 0$ equation (2.1) defines a C_0-semigroup T_t in each space $H_\sigma \times H_{2+\sigma}$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, by the formula

$$T_t w = (n_t(t); n(t)), \quad w = (n_1; n_0),$$

where $n(t)$ solves (2.1) with $F \equiv 0$. This semigroup is exponentially stable in $H_\sigma \times H_{2+\sigma}$, i.e., there exist $M, \omega > 0$ such that

$$\|T_t w\|_{H_\sigma \times H_{2+\sigma}} \le M e^{-\omega t}\|w\|_{H_\sigma \times H_{2+\sigma}}, \quad t \ge 0, \quad \forall\, w \in H_\sigma \times H_{2+\sigma}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. The first part of the statement follows from the results given in [17, Chap.3, Theorem 8.2]. As for the second part it follows from the standard calculations involving the Lyapunov function

$$\mathscr{L}(n_t; n) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\|n_t\|_\sigma^2 + \|n\|_{1+\sigma}^2 + h^2\|n\|_{2+\sigma}^2\right] + \varepsilon\left[(A^\sigma n, n_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|n\|_\sigma^2\right]$$

with appropriate $\varepsilon > 0$, see, e.g., [23, Chapter 4]. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 *Let $E_0 \in \overline{H}_2$, $G(t) \in C([0, T]; \overline{H})$ with $G_t \in L_2(0, T; \overline{H}_{-2})$. Assume that $a(t) \in L_2(0, T; H_2) \cap C^1(0, T; H_{-2})$. Then the following Cauchy problem for the non-autonomous Scrödinger equation*

$$iE_t - (A + h^2A^2)E - a(t)E + i\gamma E = G(t), \quad t > 0, \quad E(0) = E_0, \tag{2.5}$$

has a unique weak solution $E(t)$ which belongs to $C(0, T; \overline{H}_2) \cap C^1(0, T; \overline{H}_{-2})$ and satisfies the following balance relations:

$$\|E(t)\|^2 + 2\gamma \int_0^t \|E(\tau)\|^2 d\tau = \|E_0\|^2 + 2\Im \int_0^t (G(\tau), E(\tau))d\tau \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$W(t)+2\gamma\int_0^t W(\tau)d\tau = W(0)+\Re\int_0^t (a_t(\tau)E(\tau)+2G_t(\tau)+2\gamma G(\tau),E(\tau))d\tau, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$W(t)=\|E(t)\|_1^2+h^2\|E(t)\|_2^2+(a(t),|E(t)|^2)+2\Re(G(t),E(t)).$$

If in addition $E_0\in\overline{H}_4$, $a_t\in L_2(0,T;H)$ *and* $G_t\in L_2(0,T;\overline{H})$, *then* $E(t)$ *belongs to the space* $C(0,T;\overline{H}_4)\cap C^1(0,T;\overline{H})$ *and the following balance relation*

$$\|E_t(t)\|^2+2\gamma\int_0^t\|E_t(\tau)\|^2d\tau=\|E_1\|^2+2\Im\int_0^t(a_t(\tau)E(\tau)+G_t(\tau),E_t(\tau))d\tau \tag{2.8}$$

holds with $E_1=-i\left((A+h^2A^2)E_0+a(0)E_0-i\gamma E_0+G(0)\right)$.

Proof. We apply the results from [17, Chap.3, Sect.10]. For this we consider the following sesquilinear form

$$a(t,u,v)=(Au,v)+h^2(Au,Av)+\int_\Omega a(t,x)u(x)\overline{v(x)}\,dx$$

on $\overline{H}_2$. The form $a(t,u,v)$ is bounded and coercive in the sense that there exist positive ω_1 and ω_2 such that

$$a(t,u,u)+\omega_1\|u\|^2\geq\omega_2\|u\|_2^2,\quad u\in\overline{H}_2.$$

It is also continuously differentiable with respect to t. Therefore, by [17, Theorem 10.1] problem (2.9) is has a unique weak solution which is weakly continuous in $\overline{H}_2$. The relations in (2.6) and (2.7) follows by the standard argument with the help of the multipliers P_NE and P_NE_t and the subsequent limit transition $N\to\infty$ (we refer to [17] and to similar calculations below in the case of the nonlinear model in (0.1)). The strong continuity of $E(t)$ follows from weak continuity and from (2.7), see also Remark 10.2 in [17].

To prove the second part of Lemma 2.2 we note that the function $\widetilde{E}\equiv E_t$ is a solution (in the sense of distributions) to the problem

$$i\widetilde{E}_t-(A+h^2A^2)\widetilde{E}-a(t)\widetilde{E}+i\gamma\widetilde{E}=\widetilde{G}(t)\equiv a_tE+G_t,\quad \widetilde{E}|_{t=0}=E_1, \tag{2.9}$$

where $E_1=-i\left(AE_0+h^2A^2E_0+a(0)E_0-i\gamma E_0+G(0)\right)$. Since $E_1\in\overline{H}$ and $\widetilde{G}(t)\in L_2(0,T;\overline{H})$, by [17, Theorem 11.1] problem (2.9) is uniquely solvable in the sense of distributions.

Let $\{\widetilde{E}_1^N\}\subset\overline{H}_2$ and $\{\widetilde{G}^N(t)\}\subset C^1(0,T;\overline{H})$ be sequences such that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\left\{\|\widetilde{E}_1^N-E_1\|+\int_0^T\|\widetilde{G}^N(t)-\widetilde{G}(t)\|^2dt\right\}=0.$$

Consider the problem

$$i\widetilde{E}_t^N - (A + h^2A^2)\widetilde{E}^N - a(t)\widetilde{E}^N = G^N(t), \quad \widetilde{E}|_{t=0} = \widetilde{E}_1^N.$$

By the first part of the lemma this problem has a unique solution

$$\widetilde{E}^N(t) \in C(0,T;\overline{H}_2) \cap C^1(0,T;\overline{H}_{-2})$$

which satisfies the relations

$$\|\widetilde{E}^N(t)\|^2 + 2\gamma\int_0^t \|\widetilde{E}^N(\tau)\|^2 d\tau = \|\widetilde{E}_1^N\|^2 + 2\Im\int_0^t (G^N(\tau), \widetilde{E}^N(\tau))d\tau \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\|\widetilde{E}^{N_1}(t) - \widetilde{E}^{N_2}(t)\|^2 \leq \|\widetilde{E}_1^{N_1} - \widetilde{E}_1^{N_2}\|^2 + 2\Im\int_0^t (G^{N_1} - G^{N_2}, \widetilde{E}^{N_1} - \widetilde{E}^{N_2})d\tau \quad (2.11)$$

for any N, N_1 and N_2. By Gronwall's lemma from (2.11) we have that

$$\max_{[0,T]} \|\widetilde{E}^{N_1}(t) - \widetilde{E}^{N_2}(t)\|^2 \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad N_1, N_2 \to \infty.$$

Thus there exists a function $E^*(t) \in C(0,T;\overline{H})$ such that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \max_{[0,T]} \|\widetilde{E}^N(t) - E^*(t)\|^2 = 0.$$

By the uniqueness of solutions to problem (2.9) with a fixed $\widetilde{G}(t)$ we can conclude that $E^*(t) \equiv \widetilde{E}(t)$. Thus $E_t(t) \equiv \widetilde{E}(t) \in C(0,T;\overline{H})$. Consequently using equation (2.5) we obtain the required regularity of E.

Relation (2.8) follows after the limit transition in (2.10). □

2.2 Existence

We start with the Galerkin approximations of the Zakharov problem:

$$\begin{cases} n_{tt}^N + A\left(n^N + P_N|E^N|^2\right) + h^2A^2n^N + \alpha n_t^N = P_Nf, \\ iE_t^N - AE^N - h^2A^2E^N - P_N(n^NE^N) + i\gamma E^N = P_Ng. \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

Here P_N is the orthoprojector on Span$\{e_k : k = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$, where $\{e_k\}$ is the eigenbasis of A. The functions n^N and E^N have their values in P_NH and $P_N\overline{H}$. To simplify notations we omit below the index N. We use the same standard multipliers as for the classical Zakharov system (see, e.g., [8] and the references therein).

Multiplying in $\overline{H}$ the second equation from (2.12) by E and taking the imaginary part we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|E\|^2 + \gamma\|E\|^2 = \Im(g, E) \leq \|E\|\|g\|.$$

From this relation we conclude that relation (1.9) holds for this approximate solution. Thus we have that

$$\|E(t)\| \leq K(E_0, g, T) \equiv K, \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{2.13}$$

We multiply the first equation from (2.12) by $A^{-1}n_t$ in H and obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_0(n_t, n) + (n_t, |E|^2) + \alpha\|n_t\|_{-1}^2 = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

where $V_0(n, n_t)$ is given by (1.12). Now we multiply in $\overline{H}$ the second equation from (2.12) by $2E_t + 2\gamma E$ and take the real part of the result. One can see that

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_1(n, E) + 2\gamma(\|E\|_1^2 + h^2\|E\|_2^2) - (n_t, |E|^2) = \Psi(t), \tag{2.15}$$

where $V_1(n, E)$ is given by (1.13) and $\Psi(t) = -2\gamma\left[(n, |E|^2) + \Re(g, E)\right]$. Taking the sum of (2.14) and (2.15), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(n(t), n_t(t), E(t)) + 2\gamma\left(\|E\|_1^2 + h^2\|E\|_2^2\right) + \alpha\|n_t\|_{-1}^2 = \Psi(t), \tag{2.16}$$

where $V(n, n_t, E) = V_0(n_t, n) + V_1(n, E)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} V(n, n_t, E) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\|n_t\|_{-1}^2 + \|n\|^2 + h^2\|n\|_1^2\right] - (f, n)_{-1} \\ + \|E\|_1^2 + h^2\|E\|_2^2 + 2\Re(g, E) + (n, |E|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$V_+(n, n_t, E) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\|n_t\|_{-1}^2 + \|n\|^2 + h^2\|n\|_1^2\right] + \|E\|_1^2 + h^2\|E\|_2^2. \tag{2.17}$$

Then there exists $a_i, b_i > 0$ such that

$$a_0V_+(n, n_t, E) - a_1(1+K^{10}) \leq V(n, n_t, E) \leq b_0V_+(n, n_t, E) + b_1(1+K^{10}) \tag{2.18}$$

for all $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$, $h > 0$, where K is given in (2.13). Indeed, by the embedding in (1.1) with $p = 4$ we have that

$$|(n, |E|^2)| \leq C\|n\|\|E\|_{L_4(\Omega)}^2 \leq C\|n\|\|E\|_{3/4}^2.$$

Thus by interpolation using (2.13) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |(n, |E|^2)| &\leq C\|n\|\|E\|^{5/4}\|E\|_2^{3/4} \leq CK^{5/4}\|n\|\|E\|_2^{3/4} \\ &\leq \eta(\|n\|^2 + \|E\|_2^2) + C_\eta K^{10} \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

for every $\eta > 0$. This and obvious estimates for the linear terms imply (2.18).

By the same argument we also have that

$$\Psi(t) \leq C_K\left(1 + \|n(t)\|^2 + \|E(t)\|_2^2\right).$$

Therefore using Gronwall's lemma we obtain from (2.16) the second a priori estimate:

$$\|n_t(t)\|_{-1}^2 + \|n(t)\|_1^2 + \|E(t)\|_2^2 \le C_K(T, f, g), \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{2.20}$$

Now we improve the estimates for n and n_t. Multiplying the first equation of (2.12) by n_t yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|n_t\|^2 + \|n\|_1^2 + h^2\|n\|_2^2 - 2(f, n)\right) + (n_t, -\Delta|E|^2) + \alpha\|n_t\|^2 = 0, \tag{2.21}$$

Since by (2.20) we have $\|\Delta|E|^2\| \le C\|E\|_2^2 \le C$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, via Gronwall's lemma we obtain from (2.21) that

$$\|n_t\|^2 + \|n\|_2^2 \le C(T, f, g), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Thus the approximate solutions $(n^N; E^N)$ possesses the following a priori estimate

$$\|n_t^N(t)\|^2 + \|n^N(t)\|_2^2 + \|E^N(t)\|_2^2 \le C(T, f, g), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

This estimate allow us to make limit transition $N \to \infty$ and prove the existence of weak solutions satisfying the relation

$$\|n_t(t)\|^2 + \|n(t)\|_2^2 + \|E(t)\|_2^2 \le C(T, R), \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{2.22}$$

for every initial data $Y_0 = (n_1; n_0; E_0) \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le R$.

2.3 Uniqueness and the Lipschitz property in $\mathcal{H}$

Now we prove the uniqueness and the Lipshcitz property in (1.10).

Let $(n^i(t); E^i(t))$, $i = 1, 2$, be two weak solutions with different initial data $Y^i = (n_1; n_0; E_0)$ from the ball $\mathcal{B}_R = \{Y \in \mathcal{H} : \|Y\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le R\}$. By Definition 1.1 we can assume that

$$\|n_t^i(t)\|^2 + \|n^i(t)\|_2^2 + \|E^i(t)\|_2^2 \le M^2, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{2.23}$$

for some $M > 0$ (by (2.22) for the solution constructed in Section 2.2 the constant M is determined by R and T). The difference

$$(n(t); E(t)) = (n^1(t) - n^2(t); E^1(t) - E^2(t)) \tag{2.24}$$

solves equations

$$n_{tt} + \left(A + h^2A^2\right)n + \alpha n_t = F(t), \tag{2.25}$$

where $F(t) = \Delta(|E^1(t)|^2 - |E^2(t)|^2)$, and

$$iE_t - (A + h^2A^2)E - n^1(t)E + i\gamma E = G(t), \tag{2.26}$$

where $G(t) = (n^1(t) - n^2(t))E^2(t) = n(t)E^2(t)$.

It is clear the F satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 and by (2.23)

$$\|F(t)\| \le C_M \|E(t)\|_2, \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Thus Lemma 2.1 yields

$$\mathcal{E}_0(n_t(t), n(t)) \le \mathcal{E}_0(n_t(0), n(0)) + C_M \int_0^t \left[\|E(\tau)\|_2^2 + \|n_t(\tau)\|^2\right] d\tau. \qquad (2.27)$$

By the Sobolev embeddings (1.1) from (1.7) we have that $G(t) \in C(0,T;\overline{H})$. Moreover, from (1.6), we obtain that

$$G_t = n_t(t)E^2(t) + n(t)E_t^2(t) \in L_\infty(0,T;\overline{H}_{-2}).$$

Using equation (1.4) from (2.23) we have that $\|E_t^2(t)\|_{-2} \le C_M$ and thus

$$\|G(t)\| \le C_M \|n(t)\| \ \text{ and } \ \|G_t(t)\|_{-2} \le C_M(\|n_t(t)\| + \|n(t)\|_2).$$

Therefore we can apply Lemma 2.2 with $a(t) = n^1(t)$ and $G(t) = n(t)E^2(t)$. One can see that the corresponding function $W(t)$ admits the estimate

$$a_0\|E(t)\|_2^2 - C_M\|E(t)\|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\|n(t)\|^2 \le W(t) \le C_M(\|E(t)\|_2^2 + \|n(t)\|^2).$$

Thus from (2.7) we have that

$$a_0\|E(t)\|_2^2 \le \frac{1}{4}\|n(t)\|^2 + C_M\left[\|E(t)\|^2 + \|E(0)\|_2^2 + \|n(0)\|^2\right]$$
$$+ C_M \int_0^t \left[\|n_t(\tau)\| + \|n(\tau)\|_2 + \|E(\tau)\|_2\right] \|E(\tau)\|_2 d\tau.$$

By (2.6) we have

$$\|E(t)\|^2 \le \|E(0)\|^2 + C_M \int_0^t \|n(\tau)\|_2 \|E(\tau)\| d\tau.$$

Therefore using (2.27) and Gronwall's type argument for the function

$$\Phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}_0(n_t(t), n(t)) + a_0\|E(t)\|_2^2$$

we obtain (1.10) and also uniqueness of weak solutions.

We also note that the continuity properties in (1.8) of weak solutions follow from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 applied to equations (1.3) and (1.4) with "frozen" nonlinearities.

Remark 2.3 We note that the semiflow S_t is also weakly continuous on $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., $S_tU_k \to S_tU$ weakly in $\mathcal{H}$ for each $t \ge 0$ when $U_k \to U$ weakly in $\mathcal{H}$ as $k \to \infty$. Indeed, by (2.22) the function $U_k(t) = S_tU_k$ satisfies the relation

$$\max_{[0,T]} \|U_k(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le C_T, \quad k = 1, 2 \ldots \qquad (2.28)$$

Thus there exists a subsequence $\{k_j\}$ such that $U_{k_j}(t) \to V(t)$ $*$-weakly in $L_\infty(0,T;\mathcal{H})$ as $j \to \infty$, where $V(t) = (n_t; n; E)$ is a weak solution with initial data U. By the uniqueness $V(t)$ does not depend on the subsequence $\{k_j\}$ and, moreover, $V(t) = U(t) \equiv S_t U$. Thus $U_k(t) \to U(t)$ $*$-weakly in $L_\infty(0,T;\mathcal{H})$ as $k \to \infty$ and by Aubin's embedding theorem (see [21, Corollary 4]) $U_k(t) \to U(t)$ strongly in $C(0,T;\mathcal{H}_{-\sigma} \times \mathcal{H}_{1-\sigma} \times \overline{H}_{2-\sigma})$ for every $\sigma > 0$ when $k \to \infty$. This and (2.28) implies the conclusion.

2.4 Energy balance relation

Now we prove (1.11).

Since $n(t)$ is weak (variational) solution to the linear problem (2.1) with

$$F(t) \equiv -A(|E(t)|^2) + f \in C(0,T;H),$$

using the multiplier $A^{-1}n_t$ it is easy to find that

$$V_0(n_t(t), n(t)) + \alpha \int_0^t \|A^{-1/2} n_t\|^2 d\tau = V_0(n_1, n_0) - \int_0^t (n_t, |E|^2) d\tau. \quad (2.29)$$

We also note that $E(t)$ solves (2.5) with $a(t) = n(t)$ and $G(t) = g$. One can see that these a and G satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2. Therefore (2.7) implies that

$$V_1(n(t), E(t)) + 2\gamma \int_0^t V_1(n, E) d\tau$$
$$= V_1(n_0, E_0) + \int_0^t \big((n_t, |E|^2) + 2\gamma \Re(g, E) \big) d\tau. \quad (2.30)$$

Taking the sum of (2.29) and (2.30), we get (1.11).

2.5 Semi-strong solutions

To establish the third statement of Theorem 1.2 we apply the second part of Lemma 2.2 with $a(t) = n(t)$ and $G(t) = g$. Under the condition $E_0 \in \overline{H}_4$ this lemma imply (1.14).

To obtain (1.16) for semi-strong solutions we note that Lemma 2.1 yields that $(n_t; n)$ satisfies the energy relation (2.2) with $F(t) = \Delta |E(t)|^2 + f$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{E}_f(n_t(t), n(t)) + \alpha \int_0^t \|n_t(\tau)\|^2 d\tau = \mathcal{E}_f(n_1, n_0) + \int_0^t (\Delta |E(\tau)|^2, n_t(\tau)) d\tau, \quad (2.31)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_f(n_t, n)$ is given by (1.17). Relation (2.8) implies

$$\|E_t(t)\|^2 + 2\gamma \int_0^t \|E_t(\tau)\|^2 d\tau = \|E_1\|^2 + 2\Im \int_0^t (n_t(\tau) E(\tau), E_t(\tau)) d\tau, \quad (2.32)$$

where $E_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ is given by (1.18). Since

$$(\Delta |E|^2, n_t) = 2(n_t, |\nabla E|^2) + 2\Re(n_t E, \Delta E),$$

relation (1.16) follows from (2.31) and (2.32).

To continue with properties of semi-strong solutions we note that directly from (1.4) we have that

$$\|iE_t(t) - (A + h^2 A^2)E(t)\| \le \|g\| + C\left(1 + \|n(t)\|_2\right)\|E(t)\|. \tag{2.33}$$

Hence one can see from (1.16) and (2.22) via Gronwall's type argument that

$$\|n_t(t)\|^2 + \|n(t)\|_2^2 + \|E_t(t)\|^2 + \|E(t)\|_4^2 \le C_{T,R}(1 + \|E_1\|^2) \tag{2.34}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and for $Y_0 = (n_1; n_0; E_0) \in \mathcal{H}_*$ such that $\|Y_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le R$.

Now to prove the Lipschitz property in (1.15) we use the fact that the difference (2.24) of two solutions satisfies (2.25) and (2.26). Applying Lemma 2.2 to equation (2.26) from (2.8) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|E_t\|^2 \le C\left(\|n_t^1\|\|E\|_2 + \|n_t\|\|E^2\|_2 + \|n\|_2\|E_t^2\|\right)\|E_t\|.$$

By (2.34) applied to the both solutions $(n^i; E^i)$ this yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|E_t^1(t) - E_t^2(t)\|^2 \le \|E_t^1(0) - E_t^2(0)\|^2 + \int_0^t \|E_t^1(\tau) - E_t^2(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \\ + C\int_0^t \left(\|E^1(\tau) - E^2(\tau)\|_2^2 + \|n_t^1(\tau) - n_t^2(\tau)\|^2 + \|n^1(\tau) - n^2(\tau)\|_2^2\|\right) d\tau,\end{aligned}$$

where the constant C depends on R and T. Therefore using (1.10) we obtain the Lipschitz property in (1.15).

3 Global attractor

The main goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.3 which states the existence of finite dimensional global attractor in the case when the dissipation parameters α and γ are positive.

According to the general theory of dissipative systems (see, e.g., [1, 4, 16, 23]) to prove the existence of a compact global attractor we need to establish dissipativity and asymptotic compactness of the corresponding dynamical system.

We do this according to the following plan. We first establish several dissipativity properties of the systems generated by (1.3)–(1.5). Then in Section 3.2 using the splitting method we prove that the system possesses a compact attracting set which is bounded in a partially smoother space $\mathcal{H}_*$. This implies the existence of a global attractor $\mathfrak{A}$. In Section 3.3 we consider the restriction of the system $(\mathcal{H}, S_t)$ on the space $\mathcal{H}_*$ and prove that $(\mathcal{H}_*, S_t)$ admits a stabilizability estimate (see Proposition 3.7). As in [6] and [7, Chapter 7,8] this allows us to prove the existence of finite dimensional attractor $\mathfrak{A}_*$ for $(\mathcal{H}_*, S_t)$. Then we show that $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_*$. By Proposition 3.6 concerning the attractor $\mathfrak{A}_*$ this implies the statements 1-3 of Theorem 1.3. In Sections 3.4 and 3.5 using some ideas developed in [11] and [6] we establish the smoothness properties of the attractor.

3.1 Dissipativity

We first note that by Theorem 1.2 we have the following dissipativity property in the variable E:

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \left[\sup\left\{\|E(t)\| \ : \ (n_1; n_0; E) \in B\right\}\right] \le \gamma^{-1}\|g\| \tag{3.1}$$

for any bounded set B in $\mathcal{H}$. We use it to obtain dissipativity in $\mathcal{H}$ in two steps. We first prove the following assertion on dissipativity in $H_{-1} \times H_1 \times \overline{H}_2$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\alpha, \gamma > 0$. Then there exists a constant $R_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \left[\sup\left\{\|n_t(t)\|_{-1}^2 + \|n(t)\|_1^2 + \|E(t)\|_2^2 \ : \ (n_1; n_0; E) \in B\right\}\right] \le R_0 \tag{3.2}$$

for any bounded set B in $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. To prove (3.2) we consider the following Lyapunov type function

$$\mathcal{W}(n_t, n, E) = V(n_t, n, E) + \varepsilon\left[(n, A^{-1}n_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|A^{-1/2}n\|^2\right],$$

where $V(n_t, n, E) = V_0(n_t, n) + V_1(n, E)$ with $V_0(n_t, n)$ defined by (1.12) and $V_1(n, E)$ by (1.13). The parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ will be chosen later.

Let B be a bounded set in $\mathcal{H}$. By (3.1) there exists $t_B \ge 0$ such that

$$\|E(t)\| \le \rho \equiv 1 + \gamma^{-1}\|g\| \quad \text{for all} \ \ t \ge t_B. \tag{3.3}$$

Then it follows from (2.18) with $K = \rho$ that

$$\mathcal{W}(n_t, n, E) \ge \left(a_0 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2\alpha}\right)\|A^{-1/2}n_t\|^2 + a_0 V_+(n_t, n, E) - a_1, \quad t \ge t_B, \tag{3.4}$$

for some $a_i > 0$, where $V_+(n_t, n, E)$ is given by (2.17). We also have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}&\left[(n, A^{-1}n_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|A^{-1/2}n\|^2\right] \\ &= \|A^{-1/2}n_t\|^2 - \|n\|^2 - h^2\|n\|_1^2 - (n, |E|^2) + (f, A^{-1}n)\end{aligned}$$

for almost all $t \ge 0$. Therefore, from (1.11) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}&\mathcal{W}(n_t, n, E) + \varepsilon\mathcal{W}(n_t, n, E) \\ &= -(2\gamma - \varepsilon)(\|E\|_1^2 + h^2\|E\|_2^2) - 2\gamma(n, |E|^2) - 2(\gamma - \varepsilon)\Re(g, E) \\ &\quad - (\alpha - \frac{3\varepsilon}{2})\|A^{-1/2}n_t\|^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\|n\|^2 + h^2\|n\|_1^2) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2\left[(n, A^{-1}n_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|A^{-1/2}n\|^2\right].\end{aligned}$$

By (3.3) from (2.19) we obtain that

$$|(n, |E|^2)| \le \eta(\|n\|^2 + \|E\|_2^2) + C_\eta(\rho), \quad t \ge t_B.$$

Therefore, taking into account the relation

$$\big(n, A^{-1}n_t\big) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|A^{-1/2}n\|^2 \le \frac{\alpha}{\lambda_1}\|n\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\alpha}\|n_t\|_{-1}^2$$

and an obvious estimate for the linear term (g, E), we get that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W}(n_t, n, E) + \varepsilon\mathcal{W}(n_t, n, E) \le C(g, f, \rho) \quad \text{for } t \ge t_B,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Therefore for $\mathcal{W}(t) \equiv \mathcal{W}(n_t(t), n(t), E(t))$ we obtain the estimate

$$\mathcal{W}(t) \le \mathcal{W}(t_B)\exp\{-\varepsilon(t - t_B)\} + C_\varepsilon(g, f, \rho) \quad \text{for all } t \ge t_B.$$

Consequently relation (3.2) follows from (3.4). □

Now we can establish dissipativity in $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\alpha, \gamma > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\tilde{R} > 0$ such that*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\big[\sup\big\{\|n_t(t)\|^2 + \|n(t)\|_2^2 + \|E(t)\|_2^2 \;:\; (n_1; n_0; E) \in B\big\}\big] \le \tilde{R} \tag{3.5}$$

for any bounded set B in $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., the system $(\mathcal{H}, S_t)$ is dissipative.

Proof. To prove (3.5) we use the same type calculations as in the previous lemma and consider the following Lyapunov type function

$$\mathcal{W}_*(n_t, n) = \mathcal{E}_0(n_t, n) + \varepsilon\left[(n, n_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|n\|^2\right],$$

where $\mathcal{E}_0(n_t, n)$ is given by (2.3). The parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ will be chosen later.

By Lemma 3.1 for every bounded set B in $\mathcal{H}$ there exists $t_B \ge 0$ such that

$$\|n_t(t)\|_{-1}^2 + \|n(t)\|_1^2 + \|E(t)\|_2^2 \le 1 + R_0 \quad \text{for all} \;\; t \ge t_B.$$

Therefore applying Lemma 2.1 to equation (2.1) with $F(t) = \Delta|E(t)|^2 + f$ we get from (2.2) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}_0(n_t, n) \le -\frac{\alpha}{2}\|n_t\|^2 + C_{R_0} \quad \text{for} \;\; t \ge t_B.$$

In a similar way one can also see that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[(n, n_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|n\|^2\right] \le \|n_t\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left[\|n\|_1^2 + h^2\|n\|_2^2\right] + C_{R_0}, \quad t \ge t_B.$$

Therefore choosing ε small enough we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{W}_*(n_t, n) + \varepsilon\mathcal{W}_*(n_t, n) \le C_{R_0} \quad \text{for } t \ge t_B.$$

This and also Lemma 3.1 imply (3.5). □

Now we prove dissipativity of semi-strong solutions in their phase space $\mathcal{H}_* = H \times H_2 \times \overline{H}_4$. We need this to prove the existence of an attractor in $\mathcal{H}_*$.

Lemma 3.3 *Let $\alpha, \gamma > 0$. Then there exists a constant $R_* > 0$ such that*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \left[\sup\left\{ \|n_t(t)\|^2 + \|n(t)\|_2^2 + \|E(t)\|_4^2 \ :\ (n_1; n_0; E) \in B \right\} \right] \le R_* \tag{3.6}$$

for any bounded set B in $\mathcal{H}_*$. Thus the dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}_*, S_t)$ generated by problem (1.3) and (1.4) is dissipative (in the topology of the space $\mathcal{H}_*$).

Proof. On the trajectories $(n_t; n; E)$ we consider the following function

$$W(t) \equiv W(n_t; n; E_t) = \mathcal{E}_f(n_t, n) + \|E_t\|^2 + \varepsilon \left[(n, n_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|n\|^2 \right], \tag{3.7}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_f(n_t, n)$ is given by (1.17). The parameter $0 < \varepsilon \le \alpha/2$ will be chosen later. It is clear that

$$c_0 W_0(t) - c_1\|f\|^2 \le W(t) \le c_2 W_0(t) + c_3\|f\|^2 \tag{3.8}$$

with some positive constants c_i, where

$$W_0(t) \equiv \mathcal{E}_0(n_t, n) + \|E_t\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left[\|n_t\|^2 + \|n\|_1^2 + \|n\|_2^2 \right] + \|E_t\|^2.$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[(n, n_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|n\|^2 \right] = \|n_t\|^2 - \|n\|_1^2 - h^2\|n\|_2^2 + (n, \Delta|E|^2 + f),$$

from (1.16) we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt}W(t) = -(\alpha - \varepsilon)\|n_t\|^2 - \varepsilon(\|n\|_1^2 + h^2\|n\|_2^2) - 2\gamma\|E_t\|^2 + Q_\varepsilon(n_t; n; E) \tag{3.9}$$

for almost all $t \ge 0$, where

$$Q_\varepsilon(n_t; n; E) = 2(n_t, |\nabla E|^2) + 2\Re(n_t E, iE_t + \Delta E) + \varepsilon(n, \Delta|E|^2 + f).$$

By Lemma 3.2 there exists $t_B \ge 0$ such that

$$\|n_t(t)\|^2 + \|n(t)\|_2^2 + \|E(t)\|_2^2 \le 1 + \tilde{R} \tag{3.10}$$

for $t \ge t_B$. Therefore after simple calculations it is easy to see that

$$|Q_\varepsilon(n_t; n; E)| \le C(\tilde{R})(1 + \|E_t\|) \le \gamma\|E_t\|^2 + C(\tilde{R}), \quad t \ge t_B.$$

Consequently from (3.8) and (3.9) we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt}W(t) \le -\omega W(t) + C, \quad t \ge t_B,$$

for some $\omega > 0$, which yields

$$W_0(t) \le c_1 W_0(t_B) e^{-\omega(t - t_B)} + c_2, \quad t \ge t_B, \tag{3.11}$$

with some positive constants c_i. It follows from (2.33) and (3.10) that

$$\|E\|_4 \le h^{-2}\|E_t\| + C_1(\tilde{R}) \quad \text{for } t \ge t_B.$$

Therefore (3.11) implies (3.6) and hence $(\mathcal{H}_*, S_t)$ is dissipative. $\square$

3.2 Asymptotic compactness in $\mathcal{H}$

We recall the following definition (see, e.g., [16, 23] or [4]).

Definition 3.4 A dynamical system (X, S_t) on a complete metric space X is said to be *asymptotically compact* if for any bounded set B from X there exists a compact set K in X such that $\sup\{\operatorname{dist}_X(S_t x, K) \,:\, x \in B\} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

The following assertion shows that $(\mathcal{H}, S_t)$ is asymptotically compact in $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 3.5 *Let* $0 < \sigma < 1/2$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_\sigma = H_\sigma \times H_{2+\sigma} \times \overline{H}_4$. *There exist a ball* $\mathscr{B}_\sigma(R) = \{\|U\|_{\mathcal{H}_\sigma} \le R\}$ *in* $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ *and a number* $\delta > 0$ *such that*

$$\sup\{\operatorname{dist}_{\mathcal{H}}(S_t U, \mathscr{B}_\sigma(R)) \,:\, U \in B\} \le C_B e^{-\delta t}, \quad t > 0, \tag{3.12}$$

for any bounded set $B \subset \mathcal{H}$. *This means that* $(\mathcal{H}, S_t)$ *is asymptotically compact system because* $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ *is compactly embedded in* $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 we can assume that $S_t U = (n_t(t); n(t); E(t))$ possesses the property (3.10) for *all* $t > 0$ and $U \in B$.

We use the following version of the splitting method. We first split the E-component as $E(t) = E^s(t) + E^c(t)$, where $E^s(t)$ solves the problem

$$iE^s_t - (A + h^2A^2)E^s - nE^s + i\gamma E^s = 0, \quad E^s|_{t=0} = E_0,$$

and E^c is solution to

$$iE^c_t - (A + h^2A^2)E^c - nE^c + i\gamma E^c = g, \quad E^c|_{t=0} = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\|E^s(t)\|^2 \le e^{-2\gamma t}\|E_0\|^2, \quad t \ge 0. \tag{3.14}$$

and also

$$\frac{d}{dt}W^s(t) + 2\gamma W^s(t) \le |(n_t(t), |E^s(t)|^2)|, \tag{3.15}$$

where $W^s(t) = \|E^s(t)\|_1^2 + h^2\|E^s(t)\|_2^2 + (n(t), |E^s(t)|^2)$. Similar to (2.19) using (3.10) we have that

$$|(n_t, |E^s|^2)| \le \gamma h^2\|E^s\|_2^2 + C_{\tilde{R}}\|E^s\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |(n, |E^s|^2)| \le C_{\tilde{R}}\|E^s\|^2.$$

Therefore (3.14) and (3.15) imply that

$$\|E^s(t)\|_2^2 \le C_{\tilde{R}} e^{-\gamma t} \quad \text{for all} \ \ t \ge 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Now we consider (3.13). It follows from Lemma 2.2 and from (3.10) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|E^c_t(t)\|^2 + 2\gamma\|E^c_t(t)\|^2 \le 2|(n_t(t)E^c(t), E^c_t(t))| \le \gamma\|E^c_t(t)\|^2 + C_{\tilde{R}}\|E^c(t)\|_2^2. \tag{3.17}$$

It follows from (3.10) and (3.16) that $\|E^c(t)\|_2^2 = \|E(t) - E^s(t)\|_2^2 \le C_{\tilde{R}}$ for all $t \ge 0$. Thus we have from (3.17) that $\|E_t^c(t)\|^2 \le C_{\tilde{R}}$ for all $t \ge 0$. Since

$$\|iE_t^c - (A + h^2A^2)E^c\| \le \|nE^c - i\gamma E^c + g\| \le C_{\tilde{R}}.$$

we conclude that

$$\|E^c(t)\|_4^2 \le C_{\tilde{R}} \quad \text{for all} \quad t \ge 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Now we switch on the n-component. Let $Y(t) = (n_t(t); n(t))$. Using the constant variation formula we have that

$$Y(t) = I^t + K^t \equiv I^t + \int_0^t T_{t-\tau}\big(\Delta|E^c(\tau)|^2; 0\big)d\tau + (0; \mathcal{A}_h^{-1}f), \quad t \ge s, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_h = A + h^2A^2$, T_t is C_0-semigroup generated by (2.1) with $F \equiv 0$, and

$$I^t = T_t\big[Y(0) - (0; \mathcal{A}_h^{-1}f)\big] + \int_0^t T_{t-\tau}\big(\Delta\big[|E^s(\tau)|^2 + 2\Re E^s(\tau)E^c(\tau)\big]; 0\big)d\tau.$$

Using (2.4) with $\sigma = 0$ and also (3.10), (3.16) and (3.18) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I^t\|_{H\times H_2} &\le C_{\tilde{R}}e^{-\omega t} + C_{\tilde{R}}\int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau)}\left[\|E^s(\tau)\|_2^2 + \|E^s(\tau)\|_2\|E^c(\tau)\|_2\right]d\tau \\ &\le C_{\tilde{R}}e^{-\delta t}, \quad t > 0, \quad \text{for some} \;\; \delta > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

In a similar way, for $\sigma < 1/2$ we have $\Delta|E^c(\tau)|^2 \in \mathscr{D}(A^\sigma)$ and thus by (3.18) we have that

$$\|K^t\|_{H_\sigma \times H_{2+\sigma}} \le M\int_0^t e^{-\omega(t-\tau)}\|E^c(\tau)\|_4^2 d\tau + C\|f\|_{-2+\sigma} \le C_{\tilde{R}} \tag{3.21}$$

for all $t \ge 0$. Let $B_\sigma(\varrho) = \{w \in H_\sigma \times H_{2+\sigma} : \|w\|_{H_\sigma\times H_{2+\sigma}} \le \varrho\}$. Then it follows from (3.19)–(3.21) that there exists $\varrho > 0$ large enough such that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{H\times H_2}\big(Y(t), B_\sigma(\varrho)\big) \le C_{\tilde{R}}e^{-\eta t}, \quad t \ge 0, \tag{3.22}$$

for some $\eta > 0$. In a similar way from (3.16) and (3.18) we have that there exists a ball $D(\varrho_*) = \{u \in \overline{H}_4 : \|u\|_4 \le \varrho_*\}$ such that

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\overline{H}_2}\big(E(t), D(\varrho_*)\big)C_{\tilde{R}}e^{-\gamma t/2}, \quad t \ge 0. \tag{3.23}$$

Thus (3.12) follows from (3.22) and (3.23). The proof of Lemma 3.5 is complete. □

The existence of the compact global attractor $\mathfrak{A}$ for $(\mathcal{H}, S_t)$ now follows by the standard results (see, e.g., [23] or [4]) from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5. Moreover by (3.12) $\mathfrak{A}$ is a bounded set in the space $\mathcal{H}_\sigma = H_\sigma \times H_{2+\sigma} \times \overline{H}_4$ for every $0 < \sigma < 1/2$, in particular $\mathfrak{A}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_*$.

To prove other statements in Theorem 1.3 we first consider long-time dynamics of semi-strong solutions.

3.3 Semi-strong attractor

In this section we prove in Proposition 3.6 the existence of a global attractor $\mathfrak{A}_*$ of the evolution semigroup S_t in the space $\mathcal{H}_* = H \times H_2 \times \overline{H}_4$. Then we show that $\mathfrak{A}_*$ coincides with the attractor $\mathfrak{A}$. This allows us to establish the statements 1-3 in Theorem 1.3. Proposition 3.6 provides also some steps in the proof of smoothness properties of the attractor $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proposition 3.6 *Assume that $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ and $(f; g) \in H \times \overline{H}$. Then the dynamical system $(\mathcal{H}_*, S_t)$ generated by (1.3)–(1.5) in the space $\mathcal{H}_*$ possesses a compact global attractor $\mathfrak{A}_*$. Moreover:*

(1) *This attractor $\mathfrak{A}_*$ has finite fractal dimension.*

(2) *$\mathfrak{A}_*$ is a bounded set in $H_2 \times H_4 \times \overline{H}_4$ and for any trajectory*

$$U(t) = (n_t(t); n(t); E(t)), \quad -\infty < t < +\infty,$$

from the attractor we have that

$$(n_{tt}; n_t; n) \in C(\mathbb{R}; H \times H_2 \times H_4), \quad (E_t; E) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \overline{H} \times \overline{H}_4)$$

and there exists $R > 0$ (independent of U) such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \|n_{tt}(t)\|^2 + \|n_t(t)\|_2^2 + \|n(t)\|_4^2 + \|E_{tt}(t)\|^2 + \|E_t(t)\|_4^2 \right\} \le R. \quad (3.24)$$

To prove this proposition we use recently developed approach based on stabilizability estimates (see, e.g., [6] and [7, Chap.7,8]).

Proposition 3.7 (Stabilizatility estimate) *Let $(n^i(t); E^i(t))$, $i = 1, 2$, be two semi-strong solutions with different initial data $U^i = (n_1; n_0; E_0)$ such that*

$$\|n_t^i(t)\|^2 + \|n^i(t)\|_2^2 + \|E_t^i(t)\|^2 + \|E^i(t)\|_4^2 \le R, \quad t \ge 0,$$

for some $R > 0$. Then the difference

$$U(t) \equiv (n_t(t); n(t); E(t)) = ((n_t^1(t) - n_t^2(t); n^1(t) - n^2(t); E^1(t) - E^2(t))$$

satisfies the relation

$$\|U(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_*}^2 \le C_R e^{-\varkappa t} \|U(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}_*}^2 + C_R \left[\|E(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t e^{-\varkappa(t-\tau)} \|E(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \right], \quad (3.25)$$

where C_R and $\varkappa$ are positive constants.

Proof. Since $n(t)$ solves (2.25), using the energy relation in Lemma 2.1 we have that there exist $\delta, \eta > 0$ such that the function

$$W(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\|n_t\|^2 + \|n\|_1^2 + h^2 \|n\|_2^2 \right] + \delta \left[(n, n_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|n\|^2 \right]$$

satisfies relations $a_0\left[\|n_t\|^2+\|n\|_2^2\right]\le W(t)\le a_1\left[\|n_t\|^2+\|n\|_2^2\right]$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}W(t)+\eta W(t)\le -a_2\left[\|n_t\|^2+\|n\|_2^2\right]+\varepsilon\|E\|_4^2+C_{R,\varepsilon}\|E\|^2$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$, where $a_i>0$ are constants. Since E solves (2.26), we have from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|E_t(t)\|^2+\gamma\|E_t(t)\|^2 &\le C\|n_t^1E+n_tE^2+nE_t^2\|^2\\ &\le \varepsilon\|E\|_4^2+C_{R,\varepsilon}\|E\|^2+C_R\left[\|n_t\|^2+\|n\|_2^2\right].\end{aligned}$$

Let $W_\mu(t)=W(t)+\mu\|E_t(t)\|^2$. Choosing $\mu>0$ small enough we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt}W_\mu(t)+c_0W_\mu(t)\le\varepsilon\|E(t)\|_4^2+C_{R,\varepsilon}\|E(t)\|^2, \tag{3.26}$$

where $c_0=\min\{\eta,\gamma\}$. One can see from (2.26) that

$$\|iE_t-(A+h^2A^2)E\|=\|i\gamma E-n^1E-nE^2\|\le C_R(\|E\|+\|n\|).$$

Thus

$$\|E\|_4\le h^{-2}\|(A+h^2A^2)E\|\le h^{-2}\|E_t\|+C_R(\|E\|+\|n\|).$$

Therefore the estimate in (3.25) follows from (3.26). □

Now we can apply Ceron-Copes type criteria (see [6, Corollary 2.7]) and also the dissipativity stated in Lemma 3.3 to guarantee the existence of a global compact attractor $\mathfrak{A}_*$ in $\mathcal{H}_*$.

To prove finiteness of fractal dimension of $\mathfrak{A}_*$ we use the method based on the idea of short trajectories due to J.Málek and J.Nečas (see [18] and also [19] and [5]) and stabilizability estimates.

For some $T\ge 1$ which we specify later we consider the space

$$W_T=\left\{E\in L_2(0,T;\overline{H}_4)\;:\;E_t\in L_2(0,T;\overline{H})\right\}$$

with the norm

$$|u|_{W_T}^2=\int_0^T\left[\|E_t(t)\|^2+\|E(t)\|_4^2\right]dt.$$

Let $\mathcal{W}_T=\mathcal{H}_*\times W_T$ with the norm $|(Y;G)|_{\mathcal{W}_T}^2=\|Y\|_{\mathcal{H}_*}^2+|G|_{W_T}^2$. We denote by $\mathfrak{A}_T$ the set in $\mathcal{W}_T$ of the form

$$\mathfrak{A}_T=\{W=(Y;E(\cdot)):Y=(n_1;n_0;E_0)\in\mathfrak{A}_*\},$$

where $(n;E)$ is a solutions on the interval $[0,T]$ with initial data $(n_1;n_0;E_0)$ from the attractor $\mathfrak{A}_*$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{A}_T$ is a closed bounded set in $\mathcal{W}_T$. On $\mathfrak{A}_T$ we define the shift operator V by the formula

$$V\;:\;\mathfrak{A}_T\mapsto\mathfrak{A}_T,\quad V(Y,E)=(S_TY;E(T+t),\;t\in[0,T]).$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{A}_T$ is strictly invariant with respect to V, i.e. $V\mathfrak{A}_T = \mathfrak{A}_T$.

In further calculations we use the same idea as in [5], see also [6, 7].

It follows from the Lipschitz estimate in (1.15) that

$$|VU_1 - VU_2|_{\mathcal{W}_T} \le C_T |U_1 - U_2|_{\mathcal{W}_T}, \quad U_1, U_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_T.$$

From Proposition 3.7 we also have that

$$|VU_1 - VU_2|^2_{\mathcal{W}_T} \le q_T |U_1 - U_2|^2_{\mathcal{W}_T} + C_T \left[n_T^2(U_1 - U_2) + n_T^2(VU_1 - VU_2) \right] \tag{3.27}$$

for every $U_1, U_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_T$, where $q_T = Ce^{-\varkappa T}$ and the seminorm $n_T(U)$ has the form

$$n_T^2(U) \equiv \max_{t\in[0,T]} \|E(t)\|^2 \ \text{ for } \ U = (Y; E(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{W}_T.$$

One can see that this seminorm is compact on W_T. Therefore we can choose $T \ge 1$ such that $q_T < 1$ in (3.27) and apply Theorem 2.15[6] to conclude that $\mathfrak{A}_T$ has a finite fractal dimension in $\mathcal{W}_T$. This implies the finiteness of the fractal dimension of $\mathfrak{A}_*$ in $\mathcal{H}_*$.

Now we prove the smoothness result in (3.24) using the same method as [6] and [7, Chapter 7,8]. For this we apply stabilizability estimate (3.25) to the trajectory $U(t)$ and to its shift $U_\delta = \{U(\delta + t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with starting time s instead of 0. Since $\|E(t+\delta) - E(t)\| \le C_R \delta^2$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that

$$\|U(t+\delta) - U(t)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_*} \le C_R e^{-\varkappa(t-s)} \|U(s+\delta) - U(s)\|^2_{\mathcal{H}_*} + C_R \delta^2.$$

for all $s \le t$. Therefore after the limit transition $s \to -\infty$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \left[\|n_t(t+\delta) - n_t(t)\|^2 + |||n(t+\delta) - n(t)\|_2^2 + |||E(t+\delta) - E(t)\|_4^2 \right] \le C_R$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In the limit $\delta \to 0$ this gives the conclusion in (3.24) and completes the proof of Proposition 3.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.3(1-3): It is clear that the attractor $\mathfrak{A}_*$ is included in the attractor $\mathfrak{A}$ for the system $(\mathcal{H}, S_t)$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is an strictly invariant bounded set in $\mathcal{H}_*$, we also have that $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{A}_*$ and thus $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_*$. Therefore the statements (1)-(3) in Theorem 1.3 follows from Proposition 3.6.

Thus it remains to establish time and spatial smoothness stated in Theorem 1.3(4,5).

3.4 Smoothness in time variable

To prove Theorem 1.3(4) it is convenient to apply the induction method in the form presented in the following assertion.

Proposition 3.8 *Let $U(t) = (n_t(t); n(t); E(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, be a trajectory from the attractor $\mathfrak{A}$. Then*

$$(n_{tt}; n_t; n; E_{tt}; E_t) \in C^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}; H \times H_2 \times H_4 \times \overline{H} \times \overline{H}_4) \quad \textit{for } k = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{3.28}$$

and there exists a non-decreasing sequence $\{R_k\}$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\|n^{(k+1)}(t)\|^2 + \|n^{(k)}(t)\|_2^2 + \|n^{(k-1)}(t)\|_4^2 \\ + \|E^{(k+1)}(t)\|^2 + \|E^{(k)}(t)\|_4^2 + \|E^{(k-1)}(t)\|_4^2 \le R_k \quad (3.29)\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *and for every* $k = 1, 2, \dots$, *where* $n^{(m)}(t)$ *and* $E^{(m)}(t)$ *denote time derivatives of the order* m.

Proof. We use the induction in k. By Proposition 3.6 the statement of Proposition 3.8 is valid for $k = 1$. Assume that (3.28) and (3.29) hold for *all* $1 \le k \le m-1$ with $m \ge 2$. As in [7, Theorem 9.5.5] we use the idea of higher order stabilizability estimates inspired by the approach presented in [11].

Let $\widetilde{n}(t) = n^{(m-1)}(t)$ and $\widetilde{E}(t) = E^{(m-1)}(t)$. By the induction hypothesis

$$(\widetilde{n}_t; \widetilde{n}; \widetilde{E}_t; \widetilde{E}) \in C(\mathbb{R}; H \times H_2 \times \overline{H} \times \overline{H}_4)$$

and the following equations

$$\widetilde{n}_{tt} + \left(A + h^2A^2\right)\widetilde{n} + \alpha\widetilde{n}_t = F^{m-1}(E;t), \quad (3.30)$$

$$i\widetilde{E}_t - (A + h^2A^2)\widetilde{E} - n(t)\widetilde{E} + i\gamma\widetilde{E} = G^{m-1}(n, E; t), \quad (3.31)$$

are satisfied, where

$$F^{m-1}(E;t) = \Re\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} C_{m-1}^j \Delta\left[E^{(j)}(t)\overline{E^{(m-1-j)}}(t)\right] \quad (3.32)$$

(the bar over $E^{(m-1-j)}$ means the complex conjugations) and

$$G^{m-1}(n, E; t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} C_{m-1}^j n^{(j)}(t) E^{(m-1-j)}(t). \quad (3.33)$$

Here C_k^j denotes the binomial coefficients.

Lemma 3.9 *Let (3.28) and (3.29) be valid for* all $1 \le k \le m-1$ *with* $m \ge 2$ *for two trajectories* $U_i(t) = (n_{it}(t); n_i(t); E_i(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$, *from the attractor. Let* $n_*(t) = n_1(t) - n_2(t)$ *and* $E_*(t) = E_1(t) - E_2(t)$. *Then there exists a constant* $L = L(m, \mathfrak{A})$ *such that*

$$\|F^{m-1}(E_1;t) - F^{m-1}(E_2;t)\| \le L \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|E_*^{(j)}(t)\|_2$$

and

$$\|\mathscr{G}^{m-1}(t)\| + \|\mathscr{G}_t^{m-1}(t)\| \le L\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} \|n_*^{(j)}(t)\| + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|E_*^{(j)}(t)\| + \|E_*(t)\|_2\right],$$

where $\mathscr{G}^{m-1}(t) = G^{m-1}(n_1, E_1; t) - G^{m-1}(n_2, E_2; t)$.

Proof. This is direct calculation based on (3.29) for $k \le m-1$ and on the Sobolev embeddings stated in (1.1). $\square$

To continue with the proof of Proposition 3.8 we introduce the variables:

$$\widehat{E}(t) = \widetilde{E}_1(t) - \widetilde{E}_2(t) = E_*^{(m-1)}(t) \quad\text{and}\quad \widehat{n}(t) = \widetilde{n}_1(t) - \widetilde{n}_2(t) = n_*^{(m-1)}(t).$$

Since $\widehat{E}$ solves the equation

$$i\widehat{E}_t - (A + h^2A^2)\widehat{E} - n_1(t)\widehat{E} + i\gamma\widehat{E} = n_*(t)\widetilde{E}_2(t) + \mathscr{G}^{m-1}(t), \tag{3.34}$$

by Lemma 2.2 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\|\widehat{E}_t\|^2 + 2\gamma\|\widehat{E}_t\|^2 &= 2\Im(n_{1t}\widehat{E} + n_{*t}\widetilde{E}_2 + n_*\widetilde{E}_{2t} + \mathscr{G}_t^{m-1}, \widehat{E}_t)\\ &\le \gamma\|\widehat{E}_t\|^2 + C\left[\|n_*^{(m)}(t)\|^2 + Q_m(n_*, E_*; t)\right],\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_m(n_*, E_*; t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left[\|n_*^{(j)}(t)\|^2 + \|E_*^{(j)}(t)\|^2\right] + \|n_*(t)\|_2^2 + \|E_*(t)\|_2^2.$$

Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\widehat{E}_t\|^2 + \gamma\|\widehat{E}_t\|^2 \le C\left[\|n_*^{(m)}(t)\|^2 + Q_m(n_*, E_*; t)\right], \tag{3.35}$$

From equation (3.30) we see that $\widehat{n}$ solves equation

$$\widehat{n}_{tt} + \left(A + h^2A^2\right)\widehat{n} + \alpha\widehat{n}_t = F^{m-1}(E_1; t) - F^{m-1}(E_1; t).$$

Thus by Lemma 2.1 we obtain that the function

$$W_0(t) = W_0(\widehat{n}_t, \widehat{n}) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\|\widehat{n}_t\|^2 + \|\widehat{n}\|_1^2 + h^2\|\widehat{n}\|_2^2\right] + \delta\left[(\widehat{n}, \widehat{n}_t) + \frac{\alpha}{2}\|\widehat{n}\|^2\right]$$

with appropriate $\eta, \delta > 0$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}W_0(t) + \eta W_0(t) &\le -a_0\|\widehat{n}_t\|^2 + C\|F^{m-1}(E_1; t) - F^{m-1}(E_2; t)\|^2\\ &\le -a_0\|n_*^{(m)}(t)\|^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2}\|E_*^{(j)}(t)\|_2^2 + \varepsilon\|\widehat{E}(t)\|_4^2 + C_\varepsilon\|E_*^{(m-1)}(t)\|^2 \qquad (3.36)\end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. It follows from (3.34) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widehat{E}\|_4^2 &\le C\left[\|\widehat{E}_t\|^2 + \|\widehat{E}\|^2 + \|n_*(t)\|_2^2 + \|\mathscr{G}^{m-1}(t)\|^2\right],\\ &\le C\left[\|\widehat{E}_t\|^2 + Q_m(n_*, E_*; t)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (3.35) and (3.36) with appropriate $\nu > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big[W_0(t) + \nu\|\widehat{E}_t\|^2\Big] + \mu\Big[W_0(t) + \nu\|\widehat{E}_t\|^2\Big] \le C\widetilde{Q}_m(n_*, E_*; t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{Q}_m(n_*, E_*; t) &= Q_m(n_*, E_*; t) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} \|E_*^{(j)}(t)\|_2^2 \\ &\le c\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|n_*^{(j)}(t)\|^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} \|E_*^{(j)}(t)\|_2^2 + \|n_*(t)\|_2^2 + \|E_*^{(m-1)}(t)\|^2\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

This implies the following stabilizability estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\|n_*^{(m)}(t)\|^2 + \|n_*^{(m-1)}(t)\|_2^2 + \|E_*^{(m)}(t)\|^2 \\ &\qquad \le Ce^{-\mu(t-s)}\left[\|n_*^{(m)}(s)\|^2 + \|n_*^{(m-1)}(s)\|^2 + \|E_*^{(m)}(s)\|^2\right] \\ &\qquad\qquad + C\int_s^t e^{-\mu(t-\tau)}\widetilde{Q}_m(n_*, E_*; \tau)d\tau\end{aligned}$$

for every $t > s$. Let now $U_1(t) = U(t) = (n_t(t); n(t); E(t))$ and $U_2(t) = U(t+\delta)$ for some δ. In this case

$$n_*^{(j)}(\tau) = -\int_\tau^{\tau+\delta} n^{(j+1)}(\sigma)d\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad E_*^{(j)}(\tau) = -\int_\tau^{\tau+\delta} E^{(j+1)}(\sigma)d\sigma.$$

Therefore by the induction hypothesis we have from (3.37) that

$$\widetilde{Q}_m(n_*, E_*; \tau) \le C\delta^2, \quad \forall\, \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus after the limit transition $s \to -\infty$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|n^{(m)}(t+\delta) - n^{(m)}(t)\|^2 + \|n^{(m-1)}(t+\delta) - n^{(m-1)}(t)\|_2^2 \\ &\qquad + \|E^{(m)}(t+\delta) - E^{(m)}(t)\|^2 \le C\delta^2, \quad \forall\, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad |\delta| < 1.\end{aligned}$$

In the limit $\delta \to 0$ this implies that

$$\|n^{(m+1)}(t)\|^2 + \|n^{(m)}(t)\|_2^2 + \|E^{(m+1)}(t)\|^2 \le C, \quad \forall\, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From the corresponding equations for $n^{(m-1)}(t)$ and $E^{(m)}(t)$ we conclude that $\|n^{(m-1)}(t)\|_4^2 + \|E^{(m)}(t)\|_4^2 \le C$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus the proof of Proposition 3.8 is complete. Hence the statement 4 in Theorem 1.3 is proved. ☐

3.5 Spatial regularity

Now we prove the statement 5 in Theorem 1.3. It follows from the structure of $F^{m-1}(E; t)$ and $G^{m-1}(n, E; t)$ in (3.32) and (3.33) and from (1.19) that on the attractor we have

$$F^{m-1}(E; t) \in H^2(\Omega) \ \text{ and } \ G^{m-1}(n, E; t) \in H^4(\Omega) \quad \text{for every } \ m \ge 2.$$

Thus from (3.30) and (3.31) we conclude that

$$\Delta^2 n^{(m-1)}(t) \in H^2(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^2 E^{(m-1)}(t) \in H^4(\Omega).$$

Thus by elliptic regularity (see, e.g., [17]) we have that

$$n^{(m-1)}(t) \in H^6(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad E^{(m-1)}(t) \in H^8(\Omega) \quad \text{for every} \quad m \geq 2,$$

which implies that

$$F^{m-1}(E;t) \in H^6(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad G^{m-1}(n,E;t) \in H^6(\Omega), \quad m \geq 2.$$

and so on. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

References

[1] A. Babin and M. Vishik, *Attractors of Evolution Equations*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.

[2] J. Bourgain, On the Cauchy and invariant measure problem for the periodic Zakharov system, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), 175–202.

[3] J. Bourgain and J. Colliander, On well-posedness of the Zakharov system, Int. Math. Res. Not. **11** (1996), 515–546.

[4] I. Chueshov, *Introduction to the Theory of Infinite-Dimensional Dissipative Systems*, Acta, Kharkov, 1999, in Russian; English translation: Acta, Kharkov, 2002; see also http://www.emis.de/monographs/Chueshov/

[5] I. Chueshov and I. Lasiecka, Attractors for second-order evolution equations with a nonlinear damping, J. Dyn. Dif. Eqs **16** (2004), 469–512.

[6] I. Chueshov, I. Lasiecka, *Long-Time Behavior of Second Order Evolution Equations with Nonlinear Damping*, Memoirs of AMS 912, AMS, Providence, 2008.

[7] I. Chueshov, I. Lasiecka, *Von Karman Evolution Equations*, Springer, New York, 2010.

[8] I. Chueshov and A. Shcherbina, On 2D Zakharov system in a bounded domain, Dif. Int. Eqs **18** (2005), 781–812.

[9] I. Flahaut, Attractors for the dissipative Zakharov system, Nonlinear Analysis **16** (1991), 599–633.

[10] L. G. Garcia, F. Haas, J. Goedert and L. P. L. Oliveira, Modified Zakharov equations for plasmas with a quantum correction, Phys. Plasmas **12** (2005), 012302.

[11] J.M. Ghidaglia and R. Temam, Regularity of the solutions of second order evolution equations and their attractors, Ann. della Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **14** (1987), 485–511.

[12] J. Ginibre, Y. Tsutsumi and G. Velo, On the Cauchy proplem for the Zakharov system, J. Funct.Anal. **151** (1997), 384–436.

[13] L. Glangetas and F. Merle, Existence and self-similar blow up solutions for Zakharov equation in dimension two, Part I, Commun. Math. Phys. **160** (1994), 173-215.

[14] O. Goubet and I. Moise, Attractor for dissipative Zakharov system, Nonlinear Analysis **7** (1998), 823–847.

[15] F. Haas and P. K. Shukla, Quantum and classical dynamics of Langmuir wave packets, Phys. Rev. E, **79** (2009), 066402.

[16] O. Ladyzhenskaya, *Attractors for Semigroups and Evolution Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.

[17] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Problemes aux Limites Non Homogenes et Applications.* Paris, Dunod, 1968.

[18] J.Málek and J. Nečas, A finite dimensional attractor for three dimensional flow of incompressible fluids, J. Diff. Eqs **127** (1996), 498–518.

[19] J.Málek and D. Pražak, Large time behavior via the method of l-trajectories, J. Diff. Eqs **181** (2002), 243–279.

[20] A. S. Shcherbina, Gevrey regularity of the global attractor for the dissipative Zakharov system, Dynamical Systems **18** (2003), 201–225.

[21] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $L^p(0,T;B)$. Ann. di Mat. Pura Appl., Ser.4 **148** (1987), 65–96.

[22] G. Simpson, C. Sulem, and P. L. Sulem, Arrest of Langmuir wave collapse by quantum effects, Phys. Review **80** (2009), 056405.

[23] R. Temam, *Infinite-dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics.* New York, Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[24] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Functional Spaces and Differential Operators*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.

[25] V.E. Zakharov, Collapse of Langmuir waves, Sov. Phys. JETP **35** (1972), 908–912.